arXiv:1403.2091v1 [math.GR] 9 Mar 2014

Corrigendum to "On the classification of prime-power groups by coclass"

Martin Couson

March 11, 2014

Abstract

A further step towards a possible classification of p-groups by coclass was made by Eick & Leedham-Green [ELG08] in 2007. They gave a constructive proof of the ultimate periodicity of shaved coclass graphs. By giving a counterexample we show that there is a mistake in [ELG08], and we fill this gap.

1 Introduction

In 2000 du Sautoy [dS00] has proven that every shaved coclass tree is ultimately periodic. Hereby he gave a nonconstructive proof by using zeta functions. In contrast to this Eick & Leedham-Green used homological algebra in [ELG08] to confirm this result and to state structure theorems for p-groups. These structure theorems yield several results for invariants of p-groups, for instance almost all finite 2-groups of fixed coclass satisfy the divisibility conjecture [Eic06].
In this paper we show that there is a mistake in [ELG08] by giving a counterexample, we fill this gap and thus complete the work in [ELG08]. First let us state the main results of [ELG08] and recall some notation.

Coclass graph

Let p be a prime. The coclass of a finite p-group G of order p^n and nilpotency class c is defined as $\operatorname{cc} G := n - c$. The isomorphism classes of the p-groups of fixed coclass $r \in \mathbb{N}$ form the vertices of the so-called coclass graph $\mathcal{G}(p, r)$. Further, there is a

directed edge from a group G to another group H in $\mathcal{G}(p,r)$ if there exists a normal subgroup N of H such that $H/N \cong G$. The coclass graphs are far away from being fully understood, but there exist some very interesting results about them [ELG08, Section 2]: for example there are only finitely many infinite paths in $\mathcal{G}(p,r)$ up to equivalence. These paths arise from pro-p-groups of coclass r; hereby we say that a pro-p-group S with lower central series

$$S = S_1 \geq S_2 \geq S_3 \geq \cdots$$

has coclass r if every quotient S/S_i is finite and $\lim \operatorname{cc} S/S_i = r$. For each isomorphism class of a pro-p-group S of coclass r we can define a subgraph $\mathcal{T}(S)$ in $\mathcal{G}(p,r)$ called coclass tree: let $t = t(S)$ be the minimal natural number such that S/S_t is not isomorphic to a quotient of a pro-p-group R of coclass r with $R \ncong S$. Note that such a number $t(S)$ exists, since up to isormorphism there exists only finite manly pro-p-groups of coclass r by the proven Coclass Conjecture D. Then $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is defined as the descendant tree of S/S_t.
The coclass trees could be considered as the essence of the coclass graph: they correspond 1-1 to the equivalence classes of infinite paths in $\mathcal{G}(p,r)$ and they form a partition of all but finitely many vertices of $\mathcal{G}(p,r)$.
Hence it is essential to understand coclass trees in order to get a deeper insight into p-groups.

Shaved coclass tree

In general coclass trees are not ultimately periodic as a direct consequence of Coclass Conjecture D and [ELG08, Remark 4]. But shaved coclass trees are. In particular for a shaved coclass tree of periodicity m, say, and for $k, l \gg 0$ with $k = l \mod m$ there exists a graph isomorphism between the k-th and l-th branch of the shaved coclass tree. These graph isomorphisms are described explicitly by Eick & Leedham-Green [ELG08]. This description requires a deep analysis of the structure of the groups in a shaved coclass graph.
For a natural number k and a coclass tree $\mathcal{T}(S)$, the shaved coclass tree $\mathcal{T}_k(S)$ is defined as the subgraph of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ induced by the vertices $G \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ with distance at most k from some factor group S/S_i with $i \geq t(S)$; that is, there exists a normal subgroup N of G of order at most p^k with $G/N \cong S/S_i$. Evidently, every group G of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ lies in $\mathcal{T}_k(S)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If p equals 2, then there exists even a natural number k such that $\mathcal{T}_k(S) = \mathcal{T}(S)$.
Now we fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Eick & Leedham-Green showed the existence of a natural number $l = l(k,S)$, a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module T and a finite p-group R acting uniserially on T such

that every descendant of S/S_l in $\mathcal{T}_k(S)$ is a group extension of a quotient of T by R. In order to recall the definition of uniserial action we introduce the following notation.
For a group G and a G-module A we write $a.g$ to denote the image of $a \in A$ under $g \in G$. Further, let $\mathbb{Z}_p$ denote the p-adic integers and let the rank of a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module be defined as the rank of its Frattini quotient. Note that the Frattini quotient of a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module N is N/pN and hence elementary abelian.

Definition 1 (cf. [LGM02, Definition 4.1.1])**.** Let G be a finite p-group, acting on a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module N of finite rank d.

(i) The *lower G-central series of N* is defined as

$$N = N_0 \geq N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \cdots,$$

where $N_0 := N$ and $N_{i+1} := N_{i+1}(G) := [G, N_i] = \langle n.g - n \mid n \in N_i, g \in G \rangle$ for each $i \geq 0$.

(ii) G acts *uniserially* on N, if $[N_i : N_{i+1}] = p$ for every i with $N_i \neq 0$.

Theorem 2 ([ELG08, Theorem 7])**.** *There exists a natural number $l = l(k, S)$ such that*

(i) *the factor group $R := S/S_l$ lies in $\mathcal{T}_k(S)$, the group $T := S_l$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ on which R acts uniserially with R-central series $T = T_0 > T_1 > \cdots$, say, and*

(ii) *every descendant $G \in \mathcal{T}_k(S)$ of R is isomorphic to a group extensions of the R-module T/T_n by R, where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is determined by $|G| = |R| \cdot p^n$.*

By Theorem 2 all but finitely many groups of $\mathcal{T}_k(s)$ are group extensions of T/T_n by R for suitable n. In [ELG08] Eick & Leedham-Green identified the elements in $H^2(R, T/T_n)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ which describe these extensions. Further, they showed that the cohomology groups $H^2(R, T/T_n)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ lie in finitely many isomorphism classes, and they gave explicit group isomorphisms between these cohomology groups. This led to explicit graph isomorphisms between branches of the shaved coclass tree yielding the ultimate periodicity.
Recall that the uniserial action of R on the free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ yields $T_{n+d} = p \cdot T_n$. The R-module isomorphism $T_n \to T_{n+d}$, $t \mapsto p \cdot t$ induces a group isomorphism $\mu := \mu(n) : H^3(R, T_n) \to H^3(R, T_{n+d})$ of cohomology groups.

Theorem 3 ([ELG08, Theorem 8])**.** *There exists a natural number $m = m(l, S)$ such that for every $n \geq m$*

(i) *the cohomology group* $H^2(R, T/T_n)$ *is canonically isomorphic to the direct product* $H^2(R,T) \oplus H^3(R,T_n)$,

(ii) *the map* $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu) : H^2(R, T/T_n) \to H^2(R, T/T_{n+d})$ *defined by*

$$H^2(R,T) \oplus H^3(R,T_n) \to H^2(R,T) \oplus H^3(R,T_{n+d}),\ (\alpha,\beta) \mapsto (\alpha, \mu(\beta))$$

and by the canonical isomorphisms of (i) is a group isomorphism.

We write $\mathcal{B}_i$ to denote the i-th branch of the coclass tree $\mathcal{T}_k(S)$, that is, $\mathcal{B}_i$ is the subgraph induced by the descendants of S/S_i which are not descendants of S/S_{i+1}. Further, for a group $G \in \mathcal{B}_i$ of order $|R| \cdot p^n$ let γ_G denote an element of $H^2(R, T/T_n)$ such that the group extension $E(\gamma_G)$ defined by γ_G is isomorphic to G. The main result of [ELG08] is the following.

Theorem 4 ([ELG08, Theorem 9])**.** *There exists an integer* $f = f(k,S)$ *such that, if* $i \geq f$*, then the map* $\pi : \mathcal{B}_i \mapsto \mathcal{B}_{i+d} : G \mapsto E((\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\gamma_G))$ *induces a graph isomorphism (independently of the choice of the element* γ_G *defining* G*).*

One major step in proving Theorem 4 is to show that the map $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ induces a 1-1 correspondence between orbits of cohomology groups under the action of compatible pairs. The above mentioned mistake occurred at this stage of the proof.

Compatible pairs

We recall the concept of compatible pairs. For a R-module V let $\bar{\cdot}$ denote the homomorphism $R \to \mathrm{Aut}\, V$, $g \mapsto \overline{g}$ induced by the group action of R on V. Then the set

$$\mathrm{Comp}(R,V) := \{(\beta,\epsilon) \in \mathrm{Aut}\, R \times \mathrm{Aut}\, V \mid \overline{g^\beta} = \overline{g}^\epsilon \text{ for all } g \in R\}$$

is a subgroup of the direct product $\mathrm{Aut}\, R \times \mathrm{Aut}\, V$. The elements of $\mathrm{Comp}(R,V)$ are called compatible pairs of R and V. The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}\, V$ acts naturally on the abelian group V via $t.\epsilon := \epsilon(t)$ for $t \in V$ and $\epsilon \in \mathrm{Aut}\, V$. Further, the group action of $\mathrm{Comp}(R,V)$ on $Z^2(R,V)$ is defined by $\gamma^{(\beta,\epsilon)}(g,h) := \gamma(g^{\beta^{-1}}, h^{\beta^{-1}}).\epsilon$ for $\gamma \in Z^2(R,V)$ and $(\beta,\epsilon) \in \mathrm{Comp}(R,V)$. This induces an action of $\mathrm{Comp}(R,V)$ on $H^2(R,V)$.

If the coclass of an extension $E(\gamma)$ with $\gamma \in H^2(R,V)$ coincides with the coclass of R, then the embedding of V in $E(\gamma)$ is a characteristic subgroup. This yields the following result.

Theorem 5 ([ELG08, Theorem 20])**.** *Let V be a R-module. Let γ, $\delta \in H^2(R,V)$ be such that their corresponding extensions $E(\gamma)$ and $E(\delta)$ of V by R have coclass* $\operatorname{cc} R$*. Then $E(\gamma)$ is isomorphic to $E(\delta)$ if and only if γ and δ lie in the same orbit under the action of* $\mathrm{Comp}(R,V)$*.*

The gap in [ELG08]

Let us come back to our initial problem: the proof of Theorem 4 [ELG08, Theorem 9]. The graph isomorphism given in Theorem 4 is defined by group isomorphisms $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ of second cohomology groups. In order to show that $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ is well-defined we should make sure that isomorphic groups are mapped to isomorphic groups under $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu) : H^2(R, T/T_n) \to H^2(R, T/T_{n+d})$. By Theorem 5 it is sufficient to prove the following.

Theorem 6. *For every sufficiently large n the group isomorphism $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ induces an* 1-1 *correspondence between the orbits of $H^2(R, T/T_n)$ and $H^2(R, T/T_{n+d})$ under the action of* $\mathrm{Comp}(R, T/T_n)$ *and* $\mathrm{Comp}(R, T/T_{n+d})$*, respectively.*

We prove Theorem 6 in Section 2. The original proof of Theorem 6 given by Eick & Leedham-Green in [ELG08] is based on [ELG08, Theorem 23]. According to this theorem the $\mathrm{Comp}(R, T/T_n)$-module structure of $H^2(R, T/T_n)$ coincides with its $\mathrm{Comp}(R, T)$-module structure. The second cohomology group $H^2(R, T/T_n)$ could be considered as $\mathrm{Comp}(R, T)$-module due to the following result.

Theorem 7 ([ELG08, Lemma 21])**.** *Let V be a uniserial R-module with lower R-central series $V = V_0 \geq V_1 \geq V_2 \geq \cdots$. If $(\nu, \tau) \in \mathrm{Comp}(R, V)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then V_n is invariant under τ and hence (ν, τ) induces compatible pairs in* $\mathrm{Comp}(R, V_n)$ *and* $\mathrm{Comp}(R, V/V_n)$*.*

Now we are able to state the false Theorem of [ELG08].

Conjecture 8 ([ELG08, Theorem 23])**.** *For every $n \gg 0$ the* $\mathrm{Comp}(R, T/T_n)$*-module structure of $H^2(R, T/T_n)$ coincides with its* $\mathrm{Comp}(R, T)$*-module structure, that is, for every compatible pair $(\beta, \epsilon) \in \mathrm{Comp}(R, T/T_n)$ there exists a compatible pair $(\beta, \delta) \in \mathrm{Comp}(R, T)$ inducing the same automorphism of $H^2(R, T/T_n)$.*

A counterexample to Conjecture 8 is given in Section 4. First we give a corrected proof of Theorem 6.

2 Proof of Theorem 6

As a first step we analyze the compatible pairs of R and T/T_n. To ease the notation put

$$A_n := T/T_n,\ \Gamma := \mathrm{Comp}(R,T) \text{ and } \Gamma_n := \mathrm{Comp}(R,A_n).$$

The second component of each compatible pair (β,ϵ) is a homomorphisms of R-modules due to the following: For $\mathbb{Z}_pR$-modules V and W we write $\mathrm{Hom}_R(V,W)$ to denote the group of $\mathbb{Z}_pR$-module homomorphisms $V \to W$, and we put $\mathrm{End}_R V := \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,V)$. Since R acts on $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, the group T can be considered as $\mathbb{Z}_pR$-module. For an automorphism $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}\, R$ let $T_n^{(\beta)}$ denote the R-module, which equals T_n as $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module and on which R acts via $t * g := t.(g^\beta)$. Further, put $A_n^{(\beta)} := T^{(\beta)}/T_n^{(\beta)}$, $\mathrm{End}_R^\beta T := \mathrm{Hom}_R(T, T^{(\beta)})$ and $\mathrm{End}_R^\beta A_n := \mathrm{Hom}_R(A_n, A_n^{(\beta)})$. Then we have

$$\Gamma = \{(\beta,\epsilon) \in \mathrm{Aut}\, R \times \mathrm{Aut}\, T \mid \epsilon \in \mathrm{End}_R^\beta T\} \text{ and} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Gamma_n = \{(\beta,\epsilon) \in \mathrm{Aut}\, R \times \mathrm{Aut}\, A_n \mid \epsilon \in \mathrm{End}_R^\beta A_n\}. \tag{2.2}$$

In order to describe the compatible pairs it is evidently necessary to understand R-homomorphisms.
For an R-module V, an element $v \in V$ and a subgroup H of R let R_v and $C_V(H)$ denote the stabilizer of v in R and the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of elements in V fixed by H, respectively, that is,

$$R_v := \mathrm{Stab}_R(v) := \{g \in R \mid v.g = v\} \text{ and } C_V(H) := \{v \in V \mid v.g = v \text{ for } g \in H\}.$$

Lemma 9. *Let V and W be $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules, and assume that R acts uniserially on V with lower R-central series $V = V_0 \geq V_1 \geq V_2 \geq \cdots$, say. Further, let v_0 be an element of V with $\langle v_0, V_1\rangle = V$, and define $\eta : \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,W) \to W$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(v_0)$. Then η is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module monomorphism with $\mathrm{Im}\,\eta = C_W(R_{v_0})$.*

Proof. Let f denote the rank of V. Since R acts uniserially on V, there exist elements $v_1, \ldots, v_{f-1}$ of V such that $v_i \in [R, \langle v_0, \ldots, v_{i-1}\rangle]$ and $\langle v_i, V_{i+1}\rangle = V_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq f-1$. Note that the image of v_i under an R-homomorphism φ is uniquely determined by $\varphi(v_0)$. By the uniserial action of R on V, we have $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{f-1} \mathbb{Z}_p v_i = V$. Hence η is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module monomorphism. It remains to show that $\mathrm{Im}\,\eta = C_W(R_{v_0})$. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(V,W)$. Then for every $g \in R_{v_0}$ we have $\varphi(v_0).g = \varphi(v_0.g) = \varphi(v_0)$ and thus the element $\varphi(v_0) = \eta(\varphi)$ lies in $C_W(R_{v_0})$. Conversely, let w be an element of $C_W(R_{v_0})$ and define $\varphi : V \to W$ via $\varphi(v_0) = w$ and $\varphi(v_0.\sum_{g\in R} a_g g) := \sum_{g\in R} a_g w.g$ for $\sum_{g\in R} a_g g \in \mathbb{Z}_pR$. We show that φ is well-defined. Let $g, g' \in R$ be with $v_0.g = v_0.g'$, in particular $g'g^{-1} \in R_{v_0}$. Since $w \in C_W(R_{v_0})$, we have $w.g'g^{-1} = w$

and thus $\varphi(v_0.g') = w.g' = (w.g'g^{-1}).g = w.g = \varphi(v_0.g)$. Thus φ is well-defined and the result follows. □

Let t_0 be an element of T with $\langle t_0, T_1\rangle = T$. For $\beta \in \operatorname{Aut} R$ Lemma 9 yields $\operatorname{End}_R^\beta A_n \cong C_{A_n^\beta}(R_{t_0+T_n})$. Recall that the 0-th cohomology group $H^0(R_{t_0+T_n}, A_n^\beta)$ is defined as $C_{A_n^\beta}(R_{t_0+T_n})$. Generalizing [ELG08, Theorems 16 & 18] we show in Section 2.1 that $H^0(R_{t_0+T_n}, A_n^{(\beta)})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $H^1(R_{t_0+T_n}, T_n^{(\beta)})$ and a quotient of $H^0(R_{t_0+T_n}, T^{(\beta)})$ for every sufficiently large n.

2.1 Cohomology groups of quotients of torsion-free modules

Let G and $\mathbb{Z}_p$ denote a finite group and the p-adic integers, respectively. Further, let

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{\iota} B \xrightarrow{\vartheta} B/A \to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-modules, where ι is an embedding $A \hookrightarrow B$ and ϑ is the natural homomorphism $B \twoheadrightarrow B/A$. This section deals with the decomposition of the m-th cohomology group $H^m(G, B/A)$ into a direct sum of $H^m(G, B)$ and $H^{m+1}(G, A)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and suitable modules A and B.
The sequence $H^m(G, A) \to H^m(G, B) \to H^m(G, B/A)$ induced by ι and ϑ is exact by the Snake Lemma. Further, the Snake Lemma yields the existence of a connecting homomorphism $\delta_m : H^m(G, B/A) \to H^{m+1}(G, A)$ making the sequence

$$H^m(G,A) \longrightarrow H^m(G,B) \longrightarrow H^m(G,B/A) \xrightarrow{\delta_m} H^{m+1}(G,A) \longrightarrow H^{m+1}(G,B) \longrightarrow H^{m+1}(G,B/A) \tag{2.3}$$

exact. The Exact Sequences (2.3) can be combined to the well-known long exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(G,A) \to \cdots \to H^m(G,A) \to H^m(G,B) \to H^m(G,B/A) \to H^{m+1}(G,A) \to \cdots .$$

For further details we refer to [LGM02, page 197]. Now, we fix $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and we put

$$e := \exp H^m(G,B) \text{ and } f := \exp H^{m+1}(G,A),$$

where $\exp U$ denotes the exponent of a group U. The following lemma generalizes [ELG08, Theorem 16] and its proof follows the original proof.

Lemma 10. *Assume that B is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank and A is a submodule of $f \cdot B$. Then the Exact Sequence (2.3) gives rise to an exact sequence*

$$H^m(G,B) \longrightarrow H^m(G,B/A) \longrightarrow H^{m+1}(G,A) \to 0. \tag{2.4}$$

If additionally $A \leq e \cdot B$, then the Exact Sequence (2.3) leads to a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^m(G, B) \longrightarrow H^m(G, B/A) \longrightarrow H^{m+1}(G, A) \to 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. For $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let ι_l denote the group homomorphism $\iota_l : H^l(G, A) \to H^l(G, B)$ induced by $A \hookrightarrow B$. In order to prove Lemma 10 it suffices to show that ι_{m+1} and ι_m are trivial. Put $D := \{b \in B \mid f \cdot b \in A\}$ and note that D is a $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-submodule of B, and $f \cdot D$ equals $A \leq f \cdot B$. Thus ι_{m+1} decomposes into $H^{m+1}(G, f \cdot D) \to H^{m+1}(G, D) \to H^{m+1}(G, B)$, where the homomorphisms are induced by embeddings. Since D is torsion-free, the $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-module D is isomorphic to $f \cdot D = A$ and the exponent of $H^{m+1}(G, D)$ equals $f = \exp H^{m+1}(G, A)$. It follows that the group homomorphism $H^{m+1}(G, f \cdot D) \to H^{m+1}(G, D)$ is trivial. Hence ι_{m+1} is trivial and this leads to the Exact Sequence (2.3).
Now assume that A is also a submodule of $e \cdot B$. Then ι_m is the composition $H^m(G, A) \to H^m(G, eB) \to H^m(G, B)$. As $H^n(G, eB) \to H^n(G, B)$ is trivial, the result follows. □

Recall that $\mathbb{Z}_p$ denotes the p-adic integers. The following Lemma 11 is a generalized result of Eick & Leedham-Green [ELG08, Theorem 18]. For the proof of Lemma 11 we have chosen another approach enabling us to give sharper bounds.

Lemma 11. *Assume that B is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of finite rank and A is a submodule of $f \cdot B$ and let $\vartheta_m : H^m(G, B) \to H^m(G, B/A)$ be as in the Exact Sequence (2.4).*

(i) *The Exact Sequence (2.4) gives rise to a split short exact sequence*

$$0 \to \operatorname{Im} \vartheta_m \longrightarrow H^m(G, B/A) \longrightarrow H^{m+1}(G, A) \to 0.$$

(ii) *If additionally $A \leq e \cdot B$, then the Short Exact Sequence (2.5) splits.*

Proof. By assumption there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_pG$-submodule $D \leq B$ such that $f \cdot D = A$. Since G is finite and $A = f \cdot D \cong D$ is finitely generated, the groups of cochain maps $C^m(G, D)$ and $C^{m+1}(G, D)$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules. It follows that $H^{m+1}(G, A) \cong H^{m+1}(G, D)$ is finite.
Let ϑ_m denote the group homomorphism $Z^m(G, B) \to Z^m(G, B/A)$ induced by the natural homomorphism $B \twoheadrightarrow B/A$. It is straightforward to show that $B^2(G, B/A)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Im} \vartheta_m$. Hence Lemma 10 yields that there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to Z^m(G, B) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_m} Z^m(G, B/A) \longrightarrow H^{m+1}(G, A) \to 0 \tag{2.6}$$

and the Short Exact Sequence (2.5) splits if and only if this is the case for the Short Exact Sequence (2.6). Since $H^{m+1}(G, B/A)$ is finite, this is equivalent to the existence of a submodule $K \leq Z^m(G, B/A)$ with $K \cap \operatorname{Im} \vartheta_m = 0$ and $K \cong H^{m+1}(G, A)$. Recall that $Z^m(G, D)$ is the kernel of the coboundary map $d^m : C^m(G, D) \to C^{m+1}(G, D)$, which is a homomorphism of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules. Let ν_p denote the p-adic valuation. By the existence of the Smith normal form of the coboundary map there are a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module basis of $C^m(G, D)$, say $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, and corresponding p-adic integers, say $b_1, \ldots, b_n$, such that the module $Z^m(G, D/f \cdot D) = \{\delta \in C^m(G, D) \mid d^m\delta \in C^{m+1}(G, f \cdot D)\}$ equals

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n,\\ \nu_p(b_i)<\nu_p(f)}} \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot f/b_i \cdot a_i \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n,\\ \nu_p(b_i)\geq\nu_p(f)}} \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot a_i.$$

Note that $\vartheta_m(Z^m(G, D))$ equals $\bigoplus_{1\leq i\leq n, b_i=0} \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot a_i$, and hence there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodule K of $Z^m(G, D/f \cdot D)$ such that $Z^m(G, D/f \cdot D)$ is the direct sum $\vartheta_m(Z^m(G, D)) \oplus K$. By applying Lemma 10 to $Z^m(G, D/f \cdot D)$ we obtain that $K \cong H^{m+1}(G, f \cdot D) = H^{m+1}(G, A)$. Further, we have $\operatorname{Im} \vartheta_m \cap K = \vartheta_m(Z^m(G, D)) \cap K = 0$. The result follows. □

2.2 R-Homomorphisms

In this subsection we apply the results of the previous subsection on $H^0(R_{t_0+T_n}, A_n)$, which is isomorphic to $\operatorname{End}_R A_n$ by Lemma 9.
For a homomorphism $\epsilon : T \to T$ with $\epsilon(T_n) \subseteq T_n$ let $\epsilon_{A_n} : A_n \to A_n$ be defined as the homomorphism induced by ϵ and the natural homomorphism $T \twoheadrightarrow A_n$. Further, for a set M of homomorphisms $\epsilon : T \to T$ with $\epsilon(T_n) \subseteq T_n$ we write M_{A_n} to denote the set $\{\epsilon_{A_n} \mid \epsilon \in M\}$.

Theorem 12. *Assume that $n \geq \log_p(\exp H^1(R_{t_0}, T_n)) \cdot d$. Then for $\beta \in \operatorname{Aut} R$ there exists a complement of $(\operatorname{End}_R^\beta T)_{A_n}$ in $\operatorname{End}_R^\beta A_n$ which is isomorphic to $H^1(R_{t_0}, T_n)$.*

Proof. Let ϑ denote the natural homomorphism $T \twoheadrightarrow A_n$, and put $P := R_{t_0}$. First we show that $H^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)}) \cong H^1(P, T_n)$ and $H^0(P, A_n^{(\beta)}) \cong \vartheta(H^0(P, T^{(\beta)})) \oplus H^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)})$. Let $\alpha : Z^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)}) \to Z^1(P, T_n)$ be defined by $\alpha(\psi)(g) := \psi(g^{\beta^{-1}})$ for $\psi \in Z^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)})$ and $g \in P$. It is straightforward to check that α is a well-defined group isomorphism and that the image of $B^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)})$ is $B^1(P, T_n)$. It follows that $H^1(P, T_n)$ is isomorphic to $H^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)})$. Hence $\exp H^1(P, T_n)$ equals $\exp H^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)})$,

and we have $p^{n/d} \geq \exp H^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)})$ by assumption. In particular $T_n^{(\beta)}$ is a R-submodule of $\exp H^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)}) \cdot T^{(\beta)}$ by the uniserial action of R on $T^{(\beta)}$. Then Lemma 11 yields $H^0(P, A_n^{(\beta)}) \cong \vartheta(H^0(P, T^{(\beta)})) \oplus H^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)})$.

Put $Q := R_{t_0+T_n}$. Next we show that $H^0(Q, A_n^{(\beta)})$ equals $H^0(P, A_n^{(\beta)})$. Since R is finite, there exists a natural number m such that $R_{t_0+T_{n+md}}$ equals $P = R_{t_0}$. By Lemma 9 we have $\mathrm{End}_R^\beta A_n \cong H^0(Q, A_n^{(\beta)})$ and $\mathrm{End}_R^\beta A_{n+md} \cong H^0(P, A_{n+md}^{(\beta)})$. Note that the R-module isomorphism $T \to T_{md}$, $t \mapsto p^m t$ induces a natural embedding of the group $\mathrm{End}_R^\beta A_n$ into $\mathrm{End}_R^\beta A_{n+md}$, whose image is $\mathrm{Hom}_R(A_{n+md}, p^m \cdot A_{n+md}^{(\beta)})$. This yields $H^0(Q, A_n^{(\beta)}) \cong H^0(P, p^m \cdot A_{n+md}^{(\beta)})$. As $H^0(P, p^m \cdot A_{n+md}^{(\beta)})$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^0(P, A_n^{(\beta)})$, it follows that $H^0(Q, A_n^{(\beta)}) \cong H^0(P, A_n^{(\beta)})$. In particular we have $\mathrm{End}_R^\beta A_n \cong H^0(P, A_n^{(\beta)})$.

Evidently, the image of $(\mathrm{End}_R T)_{A_n}$ under the isomorphism $\mathrm{End}_R^\beta A_n \to H^0(P, A_n^{(\beta)})$ of Lemma 9 is $\vartheta(H^0(P, T^{(\beta)}))$. Recall that $H^0(P, A_n^{(\beta)})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\vartheta(H^0(P, T^{(\beta)})) \oplus H^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)})$. Hence there exists a complement of $(\mathrm{End}_R^\beta T)_{A_n}$ in $\mathrm{End}_R^\beta A_n$ which is isomorphic to $H^1(P, T_n^{(\beta)}) \cong H^1(P, T_n)$. □

2.3 Action of the compatible pairs Γ_n on $H^2(R, A_n)$

In the remaining part of this section we show that there is a 1-1 correspondence between the Γ_n-orbits of $H^2(R, A_n)$ and the Γ_{n+d}-orbits of $H^2(R, A_{n+d})$ for every sufficiently large n. Put

$$\begin{aligned} a :=& a(n) := \max\{\exp H^2(R, T), \exp H^3(R, T_n)\} \text{ and} \\ b :=& b(n) := \exp H^1(R_{t_0}, P_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since T_{n+d} is torsion-free and T_{n+d} equals $p \cdot T_n$, the cohomology groups $H^3(P, T_{n+d})$ and $H^1(P_{t_0}, T_{n+d})$ are naturally isomorphic to $H^3(P, T_n)$ and $H^1(P_{t_0}, T_n)$, respectively. This yields $a(n+d) = a(n)$ and $b(n+d) = b(n)$. Hence we may assume throughout this subsection that

$$p^{n/d} \geq \max\{a, b\} \cdot b. \tag{2.7}$$

By Theorem 12 there exists a subgroup $E_n \leq \operatorname{End}_R A_n$ of exponent b with $\operatorname{End}_R A_n = (\operatorname{End}_R T)_{A_n} \oplus E_n$. Let ϱ_n, ϱ and π_n be the homomorphisms defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi & \longmapsto & (1, 1+\varphi) \\
E_n & \xrightarrow{\varrho_n} & \Gamma_n \qquad (\beta, \epsilon_{A_n}) \\
 & & \uparrow \pi_n \qquad \uparrow \\
1 + \max\{a,b\} \operatorname{End}_p T & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & \Gamma \qquad (\beta, \epsilon) \\
\varphi & \longmapsto & (1, \varphi)
\end{array}$$

Lemma 13. *The map ϱ_n is a group homomorphism and the image of ϱ_n centralizes $\operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho) \leq \Gamma_n$.*

Proof. Let ϵ be an element of E_n. The exponent of E_n is b and hence the image of ϵ is a subgroup of $b^{-1}T_n/T_n$. Let m be the floor of n/d, in particular $n - d < md \leq n$. As $\max\{a,b\} \cdot b$ is a p-power, we have $p^{n/d} \geq p^m \geq \max\{a,b\} \cdot b$ by Assumption (2.7) and thus $b^{-1}T_n \leq b^{-1}p^m T$. This yields $\operatorname{Im} \epsilon \leq b^{-1}T_n/T_n \leq b^{-1}p^m T/T_n$. Let ϵ' be another element of E_n. Since multiplication by p induces an R-endomorphism $A_n \to A_n$, the composition $\epsilon \circ \epsilon'$ maps from A_n to $(b^{-2}p^m T_n + T_n)/T_n$. Recall that p^m is at least b^2. Hence $\epsilon \circ \epsilon'$ is trivial and $(1+\epsilon) \circ (1+\epsilon')$ equals $1 + \epsilon + \epsilon'$. Thus ϱ_n is a group homomorphism. It follows by similar arguments that $\operatorname{Im} \varrho_n$ acts trivially on $\operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$. □

Lemma 14. *The groups $\operatorname{Im} \varrho$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$ are normal in Γ and Γ_n, respectively. Further, the group homomorphisms $\varrho_n : E_n \to \Gamma_n$ and $\pi_n : \Gamma \to \Gamma_n$ induce a split short exact sequence*

$$0 \to E_n \to \Gamma_n / \operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho) \to \Gamma / \operatorname{Im} \varrho \to 1.$$

Proof. Put $c := \max\{a,b\}$ and let σ and σ_n be the group homomorphisms $\Gamma \to \operatorname{Comp}(R, T/cT)$, $(\beta, \epsilon) \mapsto (\beta, \epsilon_{T/cT})$ and $\Gamma_n \to \operatorname{Comp}(R, T/cT)$, $(\beta, \epsilon) \mapsto (\beta, \epsilon_{T/cT})$, respectively. Evidently, the image of ϱ is the kernel of σ. Hence $\operatorname{Im} \varrho$ is normal in Γ and $\Gamma / \operatorname{Im} \varrho$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Im} \sigma$. By assumption $p^{n/d}/b$ is at least c and thus Theorem 12 and Equations (2.1) and (2.2) yield $\Gamma_n = \langle \operatorname{Im} \pi_n, \operatorname{Im} \varrho_n \rangle$ and $\operatorname{Im} \sigma = \operatorname{Im} \sigma_n$. Since $\operatorname{Im} \varrho$ is normal in Γ, the image $\operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$ is normalized by $\operatorname{Im} \pi_n$. Further, by Lemma 13 the group $\operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$ is centralized by $\operatorname{Im} \varrho_n$ and hence normal in $\Gamma_n = \langle \operatorname{Im} \pi_n, \operatorname{Im} \varrho_n \rangle$. Note that $\Gamma_n / \operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Im} \sigma_n$. Now it is straightforward to deduce from $\operatorname{Im} \sigma = \operatorname{Im} \sigma_n$ that ϱ_n and π_n induce a split short exact sequence. □

Lemma 14 enables us to prove Theorem 6. By Lemma 10 the exponent of the second cohomology group $H^2(R, A_n) \cong H^2(R, T) \oplus H^3(R, T_n)$ is equal to the p-power a. As the elements of $\operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$ are of the form $(1, 1 + a \cdot \varphi_{A_n})$ with $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}_R$, the group $\operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$ acts trivially on $H^2(R, A_n)$. Thus, in order to prove Theorem 6 it suffices to consider the $\Gamma_n / \operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$-orbits of $H^2(R, A_n)$.
Recall that $a(n + d) = a(n)$ and $b(n + d) = b(n)$. In particular the results in this subsection also hold for $n + d$. Let E be a set of homomorphisms $T \to T$ such that $E_{A_n} = E_n$, and put $E_{n+d} := (p \cdot E)_{A_{n+d}}$. Evidently, E_{n+d} intersects trivially with $(\operatorname{End}_R T)_{A_{n+d}}$ and is isomorphic to $E_n \cong H^1(R_{t_0}, T_n)$. Since $H^1(R_{t_0}, T_n)$ is isomorphic to $H^1(R_{t_0}, T_{n+d})$, it follows by Theorem 12 that $E_{n+d} \oplus (\operatorname{End}_R T)_{A_{n+d}} = \operatorname{End}_R A_{n+d}$. Further, by Lemma 14 the factor groups $\Gamma_n / \operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$ and $\Gamma_{n+d} / \operatorname{Im}(\pi_{n+d} \circ \varrho)$ are naturally isomorphic to $\Gamma / \operatorname{Im} \varrho \ltimes E_n$ and $\Gamma / \operatorname{Im} \varrho \ltimes E_{n+d}$, respectively.
Hence there exists a unique group isomorphism λ such that the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_n & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_n / \operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho) & \longleftarrow & \Gamma / \operatorname{Im} \varrho \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda} & & \| {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} \\ E_{n+d} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{n+d} / \operatorname{Im}(\pi_{n+d} \circ \varrho) & \longleftarrow & \Gamma / \operatorname{Im} \varrho \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are induced by ϱ_n, ϱ_{n+d}, π_n and π_{n+d}, and $E_n \to E_{n+d}$ maps ϵ_{A_n} to $p\epsilon_{A_{n+d}}$.
Let $m = m(l, S)$ and $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu) : H^2(R, A_n) \to H^2(R, A_{n+d})$ be defined as in Theorem 3.

Theorem 15. *In addition to Assumption (2.7) we assume that n is at least m. For $\tau \in H^2(R, A_n)$ and $g \in \Gamma_n / \operatorname{Im}(\pi_n \circ \varrho)$ we have $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\tau.g) = (\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\tau).\lambda(g)$. In particular $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ induces a 1-1 correspondence from Γ_n-orbits to Γ_{n+d}-orbits.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for $\epsilon \in E$ the image of $\tau.(1+\epsilon_{A_n})$ under $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ equals $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\tau).(1 + p\epsilon_{A_{n+d}})$. By construction of $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ there exist $\gamma \in Z^2(R, T)$ and $\delta \in C^2(R, T)$ such that $\tau = \gamma_{A_n} + \delta_{A_n} + B^2(R, A_n)$ and the image of $\delta_{A_n} + B^2(R, A_n)$ under the canonical isomorphism $H^2(R, A_n) \to H^2(R, T) \oplus H^3(R, T_n)$ lies in the direct summand $H^3(R, T_n)$. In particular

$$(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\tau) = \gamma_{A_{n+d}} + p\delta_{A_{n+d}} + B^2(R, A_{n+d}).$$

Recall that $a \geq \exp H^3(R, T_n)$ and $b \geq \exp E_n$. Hence we may assume that $\operatorname{Im} \delta_{A_n} \leq a^{-1}T_n/T_n$ and $\operatorname{Im} \epsilon_{A_n} \leq b^{-1}T_n/T_n$. Let k be the floor of n/d, in particular $n \geq kd \geq n - d$. As $\max\{a, b\} \cdot b$ is a p-power, the inequality $p^{n/d} \geq p^k \geq \max\{a, b\} \cdot b$ holds.

Further T_n is a subgroup of $T_{kd} = p^k T$, and thus $\operatorname{Im}\epsilon_{A_n} \leq p^k b^{-1} T/T_n \leq aT/T_n$. It follows that the image of $\delta_{A_n}.\epsilon_{A_n}$ is a subgroup of $(p^k a^{-1} b^{-1} T_n + T_n)/T_n$ and hence $\delta_{A_n}.\epsilon_{A_n}$ and $\delta_{A_{n+d}}.(p\epsilon_{A_{n+d}})$ are trivial. This yields

$$\tau.(1+\epsilon_{A_n}) = \tau + \gamma_{A_n}.\epsilon_{A_n} + B^2(R, A_n) \text{ and}$$
$$(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\tau).(1 + p\epsilon_{A_{n+d}}) = (\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\tau) + \gamma_{A_{n+d}}.(p\epsilon_{A_{n+d}}) + B^2(R, A_{n+d}).$$

Since $\operatorname{Im}\epsilon_{A_n}$ is a subgroup of aT/T_n and a is at least $\exp H^2(R,T)$, the element $\gamma_{A_n}.\epsilon_{A_n} + B^2(R, A_n)$ lies in the summand of $H^2(R, A_n) \cong H^2(R,T) \oplus H^3(R, T_n)$ which is canonically isomorphic to $H^3(R, T_n)$. Thus the image $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\gamma_{A_n}.\epsilon_{A_n} + B^2(R, A_n))$ equals $\gamma_{A_{n+d}}.(p\epsilon_{A_{n+d}}) + B^2(R, A_{n+d})$. The result follows. □

Proof of Theorem 6. A reformulation of Theorem 15 yields Theorem 6 □

3 The graph isomorphism

In what follows, we construct graph isomorphisms between branches of the shaved coclass tree. This construction goes back to Eick & Leedham-Green [ELG08]. The proof in [ELG08] showing that the graph isomorphism is well-defined is based on the false result [ELG08, Theorem 23]. We will give a revised version of the proof. For this purpose, we need the following notation and lemmata.

Let m be as in Theorem 3, let n denote a natural number, and as in Subsection 2.3 let $a(n)$ and $b(n)$ be defined as $\max\{\exp H^2(R,T), \exp H^3(R,T_n)\}$ and $\exp H^1(R_{t_0}, T_n)$, respectively. Recall that $a(n+d) = a(n)$ and $b(n+d) = b(n)$ by the uniserial action of R on T. Hence there exists a natural number v such that

$$p^v \geq m \text{ and } p^v \geq b(n) \cdot \max\{a(n), b(n)\}$$

for every natural number n.

Lemma 16. *Assume that $n \geq vd$. Let $\tau \in Z^2(R, A_n)$ and $\tau_* \in Z^2(R, A_{n+d})$ be cocycles such that the image of $\tau + B^2(R, A_n)$ under $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ equals $\tau_* + B^2(R, A_{n+d})$. Then $E(\tau)$ has coclass r if and only if this is the case for $E(\tau_*)$.*

Proof. Let l be the nilpotency class of R. Note that a group extension $E(\lambda)$ defined by a cocycle $\lambda \in Z^2(R, A_m)$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ has coclass $r = \operatorname{cc} R$ if and only if $\gamma_l(E(\lambda)) = A_m$, where $\gamma_l(E(\lambda))$ denotes the l-th term of the lower central series of $E(\lambda)$.

Further, recall that $a = a(n) \geq \exp H^3(R, T_n)$. Then by construction of $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ there exists $\gamma \in Z^2(R,T)$ and $\epsilon \in C^2(R, T_{n - \log_p a \cdot d})$ such that $\gamma_{A_n} + \epsilon_{A_n} = \tau$ and

$\gamma_{A_{n+d}} + p \cdot \epsilon_{A_{n+d}}$ equals τ_* modulo $B^2(R, A_{n+d})$. Since $n \geq vd > \log_p a \cdot d$, we have $\epsilon_{A_d} = 0$. It follows that $\gamma_{A_d} = \tau_{A_d} = (\tau_*)_{A_d}$. Then for $\lambda \in \{\tau, \tau_*\}$ the group extension $E(\lambda)$ has coclass r if and only if $\gamma_l(E(\gamma_{A_d})) = A_d$ holds. The result follows. □

Lemma 17. *Assume that $n \geq vd$. Then $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ induces a bijection between the isomorphism classes of group extensions of A_n by R of coclass r and the isomorphism classes of group extensions of A_{n+d} by R of coclass r.*

Proof. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in Z^2(R, A_n)$ be such that $E(\gamma_1)$ and $E(\gamma_2)$ have coclass r and $E(\gamma_1)$ is isomorphic to $E(\gamma_2)$. Then by Theorem 5 the elements $\gamma_1 + B^2(R, A_n)$ and $\gamma_2 + B^2(R, A_n)$ lie in the same orbit under the action of $\mathrm{Comp}(R, A_n)$. By Theorem 6 the map $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ induces a bijection between the orbits of $H^2(R, A_n)$ under the action of $\mathrm{Comp}(R, A_n)$ and the orbits of $H^2(R, A_{n+d})$ under the action of $\mathrm{Comp}(R, A_{n+d})$. The result follows by Lemma 16. □

Lemma 17 enables us to construct graph isomorphisms between the branches of the shaved coclass tree $\mathcal{T}_k(S)$. For a p-group G of coclass r let $\mathrm{V}(G)$ denote the vertex in $\mathcal{G}(p, r)$ corresponding to the isomorphism class of G. Further, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a cocycle $\gamma \in Z^2(R, A_n)$ defining a group extension $E(\gamma)$ of coclass r let $\mathrm{V}(\gamma)$ denote $\mathrm{V}(E(\gamma))$.
Let l be as in Theorem 2 and let $\mathcal{B}_i$ denote the i-th branch of the shaved coclass tree $\mathcal{T}_k(S)$. In particular T equals $\gamma_l(S)$. For $i \geq vd + l$ we write $\nu = \nu(i)$ to denote the map $\mathcal{B}_i \to \mathcal{B}_{i+d}$ induced by $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$, that is, for a cocycle $\gamma \in Z^2(R, A_n)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathrm{V}(\gamma) \in \mathcal{B}_i$ the vertex $\mathrm{V}(\gamma)$ is mapped to $\mathrm{V}(\gamma_*)$, where γ_* is a representative of $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\gamma + B^2(R, A_{n+d}))$.

Theorem 18. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $i - l \geq vd$. Then ν is a well-defined graph isomorphism.*

Proof. First, note that the image of a vertex under ν does not depend on the chosen cocycle by Lemma 17.
Let G and H be groups in $\mathcal{B}_i$ such that G is an immediate descendant of H. Note that G and H are descendants of $S/\gamma_i(S)$. Then there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau \in Z^2(R, A_n)$ such that $\mathrm{V}(G) = \mathrm{V}(\tau)$, $\mathrm{V}(H) = \mathrm{V}(\tau_{A_{n-1}})$ and $\mathrm{V}(\tau_{A_{i-l}}) = \mathrm{V}(S/\gamma_i(S))$.
By construction of $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)$ we may assume that there exist $\delta \in Z^2(R, T)$ and $\epsilon \in C^2(R, T_{n - \log_p a(n) \cdot d})$ such that $\tau = \delta_{A_n} + \epsilon_{A_n}$ and for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq j \geq i - l$ we have

$$(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)(\tau_{A_j} + B^2(R, A_j)) = \delta_{A_{j+d}} + p\epsilon_{A_{j+d}} + B^2(R, A_{j+d}).$$

If follows that $\nu(V(G))$ is an immediate descendant of $\nu(V(H))$. Since $n \geq vd > \log_p a(n) \cdot d$, the cocycle τ_{A_d} equals δ_{A_d}. Hence G is a descendant of $E(\delta_{A_d})$ and the

coclass of $E(\delta_{A_d})$ equals $r = \operatorname{cc} R = \operatorname{cc} G$ by the uniserial action of R on T. It follows that $E(\delta)$ is a pro-p-group of coclass r. As every coclass tree corresponds to exactly one isomorphism class of an infinite pro-p-group of coclass r by definition, the group $E(\delta)$ is isomorphic to S. Thus $E(\delta_{A_{i-l}}) \cong S/\gamma_i(S)$ and $E(\delta_{A_{i-l+d}}) \cong S/\gamma_{i+d}(S)$. This yields that $\nu(V(G))$ is a descendant of $\nu(V(S/\gamma_i(S))) = V(S/\gamma_{i+d}(S))$ and hence $\operatorname{Im}\nu \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{i+d}$. It follows that ν is a graph isomorphism.
Now, we show that ν is a bijection. For this purpose let $\nu^{-1} : \mathcal{B}_{i+d} \to \mathcal{B}_i$ be the graph homomorphism induced by $(\mathrm{id} \oplus \mu)^{-1}$. Similarly to above, we can show that ν^{-1} is a well-defined graph homomorphism. Evidently ν^{-1} is an inverse of ν and thus ν is a bijection. □

4 Counterexample to [ELG08, Theorem 23]

A counterexample to Conjecture 8 [ELG08, Theorem 23] is given in this section. Let $i \in \mathbb{C}$ be the imaginary unit and let D_8 denote the dihedral group of order 8 given by the following group presentation

$$D_8 := \langle a, b \mid a^2 = 1, b^4 = 1, b^a = b^{-1} \rangle.$$

Define a uniserial action of D_8 on the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ by setting $1.a := 1$, $i.a := -i$ and $1.b := i$, $i.b := i \cdot i = -1$. Then $S := D_8 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ is a pro-2-group of coclass 3 and the third term of the lower central series of S is $1 \ltimes 2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}_2[i]$. By the uniserial action of D_8 on $\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ it follows that $1 \ltimes 2^k\mathbb{Z}_2[i]$ is the $(1 + 2k)$-th term of the lower central series for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix k, put $T := 1 \ltimes 2^k\mathbb{Z}_2[i] \leq S$ and let $T = T_0 > T_1 > T_2 > \cdots$ denote the lower S-central series of T. Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we consider the action of $\operatorname{End}_R T/T_n$ on $H^2(R, T/T_n)$, where $\operatorname{End}_R T/T_n$ acts via the embedding $\operatorname{End}_R T/T_n \to \operatorname{Comp}(R, T/T_n)$, $\varphi \mapsto (1, \varphi)$ on $H^2(R, T/T_n)$. It can be shown that for every sufficiently large n the group $\operatorname{End}_R T/T_n$ does not stabilize the direct summand $H^2(R, T)$ of $H^2(R, T/T_n) \cong H^2(R, T) \oplus H^3(R, T_n)$. This contradicts [ELG08, Theorem 23]. For further details, we refer to [Cou13, Appendix B].

References

[]Cou13 Martin Couson. *On the character degrees and automorphism groups of finite p-groups by coclass.* PhD thesis, 2013.

[]dS00 Marcus du Sautoy. Counting p-groups and nilpotent groups. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (92):63–112 (2001), 2000.

[]Eic06 Bettina Eick. Automorphism groups of 2-groups. *J. Algebra*, 300(1):91–101, 2006.

[]ELG08 Bettina Eick and Charles Leedham-Green. On the classification of prime-power groups by coclass. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 40(2):274–288, 2008.

[]LGM02 C. R. Leedham-Green and S. McKay. *The structure of groups of prime power order*, volume 27 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Oxford Science Publications.